Economic Growth and Poverty Reduction Strategies in Korea

2006.9.

Korea Institute for Health and Social Affairs

Contents

I. Introduction

II. Economic Growth, Poverty and Inequality in Korea

III. Changes in Poverty Reduction Strategies in Korea


IV. Implications of Korea's Experiences

V. Suggestions

I. Introduction

Economic Growth and Poverty Reduction in Korea

Before 1997



I. Introduction


• Since 1997




Emerging Issues

- Relative poverty
- Inequality
- Bi-polarization in Income distribution


- **1. Economic Growth and Absolute Poverty**
 - Economic Growth and Reduction in Absolute Poverty
 - Rapid economic growth contributed to the decrease in absolute poverty rates: "Floating Effect"



Economic Growth and Absolute Poverty in Korea



A higher economic growth rate relates to a larger decrease in absolute poverty rate in other regions.



Economic Growth and Poverty Reduction by Region

Key Factors for Reducing Absolute Poverty

- Active and heavy investment in human and physical capital by the public and the private sectors
- Effective family-planning policies



- Improved social safety nets
 - Public Assistance:
 - > Launched in 1961
 - > Target group: traditionally vulnerable groups such as the elderly, the disabled, and children
 - **Expanded to all the citizen as a right in 1999**
 - Industrial Accident Compensation Insurance:
 - Launched in 1964
 - > Expanded to all the workplaces with at least one employee in 2000

National Health Insurance Scheme:

Introduced in 1977 for workplaces with at least 500 employees

Expanded to all the Korean citizens in 1988

National Pension Scheme:

> Launched in 1988 to cover workplaces with 10 employees or more

Expanded to all the Korean citizens in 1999


Unemployment Insurance:

> Unemployment allowances, job-searching support, job training

- 2. Economic Growth and Relative Poverty
- Increase in the Relative Poverty Rates
 - 8.6% in 1996 \rightarrow 10.0% in 2000 \rightarrow 11.7% in 2004

(poverty line: 50% of medium income)

Trend in the Relative Poverty Rates



11


Factors for the Increase in Relative Poverty

- Globalization, and industrial and job insecurity
 increase in the working poor
- Low fertility and population ageing
 - → increase in the poor aged
- Changes in family structure and the break-up of families
 Increase in the poor female householders

- The importance of the strategies is increasingly recognized :
 - To tackle relative poverty issues
 - To enhance equal income distribution
 - To promote social integration


3. Economic Growth and Inequality

Inequality before and after the Financial Crisis



The more serious the inequality, the slower the economic growth

Economic Growth Rate and the Gini Indicator




Sources: Raw data from the Korea National Statistical Office's **Survey** of Urban Households **(published annually)**, for each year The Bank of Korea's website data, for each year




III. Changes in Poverty Reduction Strategies in Korea

2. Changes in Target Beneficiaries



III. Changes in Poverty Reduction Strategies in Korea

3. Korea's Future Vision for anti-poverty policy



IV. Implications of Korea's Experiences

The importance of economic growth and anti-poverty policies should be emphasized to alleviate absolute poverty.

It is desirable to expand the target groups from the poor unable to work, to the poor able to work and the near poor.

IV. Implications of Korea's Experiences

Welfare policies should be designed to succeed in promoting motivation to work among the poor.

Proper attention should be paid to various social problems resulting from low fertility and rapid population aging.

V. Suggestions

 The most efficient way is to consider poverty eradication and income distribution issue from the initial level of mapping out strategies for development and growth.



But putting too much importance on distribution will weaken the potential of economic growth and efficiency.

V. Suggestions

As in the "Fool in the Shower", plying between growth and distribution will cost a lot of social expenses while controlling its side effects.



For long-term and sustainable development, emphasizing one side is not desirable.

V. Suggestions

 It is important to set a policy direction which can establish positive relation between growth and distribution as well as harmonize efficiency and equality.

V. Suggestions

Korea's "Participation Government" is emphasizing the importance of cogrowth while trying to embody the vision of Social Investment State into policies.

